UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,890	09/15/2006	Massimo Prini	P48612	2374
	7590 03/11/201 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			VU, JAKE MINH	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/567,890	PRINI, MASSIMO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAKE VU	1618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edination of the Edination of the Identity of the Identity of the Identity of the Identity of Identity of the Identity of Identity	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/16/10</u>.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Request for Continued Examination,

Amendment, and Information Disclosure Statement filed on 03/16/2010.

• Claim 1 has been amended.

• Claims 1-4 are pending in the instant application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

03/16/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention, pertaining to the term "gelling agents", is withdrawn in view of

Applicant's cancellation of the terms.

Note, Applicant argument pertaining "gelling agent" is moot since the term "gelling agent" has been cancelled.

However, upon further consideration of Applicant's Amendment, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as discussed below.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what the phrase of "material used for converting the composition into a gel and to render it bioadhesive" would encompass. Applicant provides no list of common gelling agents, or what formula would constitute a "material used for converting the composition into a gel and to render it bioadhesive". states that "example [such as hydroxyethylcellulose, acrylic or methacrylic acid polymers, chitosan, and polycarbophil] at p. 1 of the specification, are provided as non-limiting examples of gelling agents" provide no standard for ascertaining what are the "material used for converting the composition into a gel and to render it bioadhesive" would encompass, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the term "material used for converting the composition into a gel and to render it bioadhesive". Therefore, one would not know what are the metes and bounds of the claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ARKIN et al (US 2003/0039704) **are maintained** for reasons of record in the previous office action filed on 04/14/2009, 12/16/2009 and as discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ARKIN et al (US 2003/0039704) **are maintained** for reasons of record in the previous office action filed on 04/14/2009, 12/16/2009 and as discussed below.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that that the claim is now directed to a mucoadhesive vaginal composition in the form of an aqueous bloadhesive gel adapted for the delivery of at

Art Unit: 1618

least one of active ingredients and principles. The Arkin et al. reference is directed to pharmaceutical preparations adopted for topical administration that are effective for treating rosacea. As is well known to those of at least ordinary skill in the relevant art, rosacea is a specific pathology affecting the skin, and not the mucosa. The Arkin reference thus does not teach, or even suggest any bioadhesive/mucoadhesive formulations in that, as pointed above, the condition which the compositions disclosed in the subject reference are designed to treat are conditions of the skin (i.e., rosacea) and not the mucosa.

Page 5

The Examiner finds this argument unpersuasive, because Applicant's claims recite a composition, and the intended use recited in the preamble would reasonably appear not to be a claim limitation. "If the claim preamble, when read in the context of the entire claim, recites limitations of the claim, or, if the claim preamble is 'necessary to give life, meaning, and vitality' to the claim, then the claim preamble should be construed as if in the balance of the claim...If, however, the body of the claim fully and intrinsically sets forth the complete invention, including all of its limitations, and the preamble offers no distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, but rather merely states, for example, the purpose or intended use of the invention, then the preamble is of no significance to claim construction because it cannot be said to constitute or explain a claim limitation." Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Co., 182 F.3d 1298, 1305, 51 USPQ2d 1161, 1165-66 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

Application/Control Number: 10/567,890 Page 6

Art Unit: 1618

Thus, the intended use of "mucoadhesive vaginal" in the composition claims is met by the prior art, because the prior art compositions would be at least capable of performing said use.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,890

Art Unit: 1618

Telephonic Inquiries

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JAKE VU whose telephone number is (571)272-8148.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tue and Thu-Fri 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jake M. Vu/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618